

1. Let  $\vec{v}_1, \dots, \vec{v}_n$  be linearly independent in  $\mathbb{R}^n$ . Fix  $\vec{b} \in \mathbb{R}^n$  and let

$A = (\vec{v}_1 \mid \dots \mid \vec{v}_n)$ . By linear indep., the RREF form of  $A$  has a pivot

in every column (because the system

$A\vec{x} = \vec{0}$  has only the trivial solution).

As  $A$  is  $n \times n$ , the RREF form of  $A$

must have a pivot in every row, hence

$A\vec{x} = \vec{b}$  is consistent. Fix  $\vec{\alpha} \in \mathbb{R}^n$  s.t.

$A\vec{\alpha} = \vec{b}$ , say  $\vec{\alpha} = \begin{pmatrix} \alpha_1 \\ \vdots \\ \alpha_n \end{pmatrix}$ . Then

$$\vec{b} = \sum_{k=1}^n \alpha_k \vec{v}_k.$$

This shows that  $\vec{v}_1, \dots, \vec{v}_n$  span  $\mathbb{R}^n$ .

2. (a) Yes.

$$\bullet \vec{0} = \begin{pmatrix} 2 \cdot 0 + 0 \\ 0 - 0 \\ 0 + 0 \end{pmatrix} \in W$$

$$\bullet \text{Let } \vec{v}, \vec{w} \in W \Rightarrow \exists x, y \in \mathbb{R} \quad \vec{v} = \begin{pmatrix} 2x + y \\ x - y \\ x + y \end{pmatrix}$$

$$\text{and } \exists \alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{R} \quad \vec{w} = \begin{pmatrix} 2\alpha + \beta \\ \alpha - \beta \\ \alpha + \beta \end{pmatrix}$$

$$\Rightarrow \vec{v} + \vec{w} = \begin{pmatrix} 2(x + \alpha) + (y + \beta) \\ x + \alpha - (y + \beta) \\ x + \alpha + y + \beta \end{pmatrix} \in W$$

$$\bullet \text{Let } \vec{v} \in W, \lambda \in \mathbb{R} \Rightarrow \exists x, y \in \mathbb{R} \quad \vec{v} = \begin{pmatrix} 2x + y \\ x - y \\ x + y \end{pmatrix}$$

$$\Rightarrow \lambda \vec{v} = \begin{pmatrix} 2(\lambda x) + \lambda y \\ \lambda x - \lambda y \\ \lambda x + \lambda y \end{pmatrix} \in W$$

(b)  $W$  is not a subspace, because  $\vec{0} \notin W$ .

Suppose towards a contradiction that  $\vec{0} \in W$

$$\Rightarrow \exists x \in \mathbb{R} \begin{pmatrix} x+1 \\ x-1 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} \Rightarrow \begin{cases} x+1=0 \\ x-1=0 \end{cases}$$

$$\Rightarrow -1 = x = 1, \text{ contradiction.}$$

(c)  $W$  is not a subspace of  $\mathbb{R}^3$ , because it is not closed under scalar multiplication.

$$\text{Indeed, } \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 1 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 \cdot 1 \\ 1 \cdot 1 \\ 1 \cdot 1 \end{pmatrix} \in W \text{ but } \begin{pmatrix} -1 \\ -1 \\ -1 \end{pmatrix} \notin W.$$

$$\text{Otherwise, } \exists x, y, z \in \mathbb{R} \begin{pmatrix} -1 \\ -1 \\ -1 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} xy \\ yz \\ xz \end{pmatrix}. \text{ Then}$$

$x$  and  $y$  must have  $\neq$  signs (as  $xy < 0$ ),

wlog  $x < 0 < y$ . Then, as  $yz = -1 < 0$ ,

$z < 0 < y$ . But then  $x \cdot z > 0$ ,

contradicting  $xz = -1$ .

4. • As  $W$  and  $V$  are subspaces,

$$\vec{0} \in W \text{ and } \vec{0} \in V, \quad \vec{0} \in W \cap V.$$

• Let  $\vec{x}, \vec{y} \in W \cap V$ , then

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} \vec{x} \in W \wedge \vec{y} \in W \longrightarrow \vec{x} + \vec{y} \in W \quad (\text{because } W \text{ is a subspace}) \\ \vec{x} \in V \wedge \vec{y} \in V \longrightarrow \vec{x} + \vec{y} \in V \quad (\text{because } V \text{ is a subspace}) \end{array} \right.$$

$$\Rightarrow \vec{x} + \vec{y} \in W \cap V$$

• Let  $\vec{x} \in W \cap V$ ,  $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}$ , then

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} \alpha \vec{x} \in W \quad (\text{because } W \text{ is a subspace}) \\ \alpha \vec{x} \in V \quad ( \text{ " } V \text{ " " " } ) \end{array} \right.$$

$$\Rightarrow \alpha \vec{x} \in W \cap V$$

∴  $W \cap V$  is a subspace of  $\mathbb{R}^n$ .

5. Let  $n = 2$ ,  $W = \text{span} \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}$ ,  $V = \text{span} \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix}$

$\Rightarrow V \cup W$  is not a subspace of  $\mathbb{R}^2$ ,

because  $\begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} \in V \cup W$ ,  $\begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix} \in V \cup W$ , but

$$\begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} + \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix} \notin V \cup W.$$

6. Say  $B = \{\vec{b}_1, \dots, \vec{b}_m\}$ . Let  $v \in \mathbb{R}^n \setminus V$ .

Suppose  $\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_{m+1} \in \mathbb{R}$  are s.t.

$$\vec{0} = \alpha_1 \vec{b}_1 + \dots + \alpha_m \vec{b}_m + \alpha_{m+1} \vec{v} \quad (*)$$

If  $\alpha_{m+1} \neq 0$ , then by (\*)

$$\vec{v} = -\frac{\alpha_1}{\alpha_{m+1}} \vec{b}_1 - \dots - \frac{\alpha_m}{\alpha_{m+1}} \vec{b}_m$$

$\Rightarrow \vec{v} \in V$  because  $V$  is closed under linear combinations and  $B \subseteq V$ .

This contradicts  $\vec{v} \notin V$ . So,  $\alpha_{m+1} = 0$   
and (\*) becomes

$$\vec{0} = \alpha_1 \vec{b}_1 + \dots + \alpha_m \vec{b}_m$$

As  $\mathcal{B}$  is a basis, it is lin. ind,  
hence  $\alpha_i = 0 \quad \forall i \in \{1, \dots, m\}$ .

7. Recall that, if  $W \subseteq \mathbb{R}^n$  is a subspace,  
with  $\dim(W) < n$ , then  $W \neq \mathbb{R}^n$ .  
If  $m = n$ , then  $\mathcal{B}$  is a basis for  $\mathbb{R}^n$  by ex. 1.

Recursively define  $\vec{b}_{m+1}, \dots, \vec{b}_n$  as

follows: at stage  $k$ ,  $m+1 \leq k \leq n$ ,

if  $\{\vec{b}_i \mid 1 \leq i < k\}$  is linearly independent,

let  $\vec{b}_k \in \mathbb{R}^n \setminus \text{span}\{\vec{b}_1, \dots, \vec{b}_{k-1}\}$ .

By Exercise 6,  $\{\vec{b}_1, \dots, \vec{b}_{k+1}\}$  is linearly independent, and the construction can proceed.

At stage  $n$ , the recursion halts, yielding

$\vec{b}_1, \dots, \vec{b}_m, \vec{b}_{m+1}, \dots, \vec{b}_n$  lin. ind. By

ex 1,  $C = \{\vec{b}_1, \dots, \vec{b}_n\}$  is a basis for

$\mathbb{R}^n$ . Certainly,  $B \subseteq C$ .

8. Let  $S = \text{span}\{\vec{v}_1, \vec{v}_2, \vec{w}_1, \vec{w}_2\}$ , so

that  $S$  is a subspace of  $\mathbb{R}^5$  (see

thm 3.3). We show that  $\{\vec{v}_1, \vec{v}_2, \vec{v}_3, \vec{v}_4\}$

is a basis for  $S$ . By def, it spans

$S$ . To check linear indep, let  $\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \beta_1, \beta_2 \in \mathbb{R}$

be st  $\vec{0} = \alpha_1 \vec{v}_1 + \alpha_2 \vec{v}_2 + \beta_1 \vec{w}_1 + \beta_2 \vec{w}_2$ .

$$\Rightarrow \underbrace{\alpha_1 \vec{v}_1 + \alpha_2 \vec{v}_2}_{V \cap W} = \underbrace{-\beta_1 \vec{w}_1 - \beta_2 \vec{w}_2}_W$$

$\Rightarrow \alpha_1 \vec{v}_1 + \alpha_2 \vec{v}_2 \in V \cap W = \{\vec{0}\}$ , hence

$$\alpha_1 \vec{v}_1 + \alpha_2 \vec{v}_2 = \vec{0}, \text{ so } \alpha_1 = 0 = \alpha_2$$

because  $\vec{v}_1, \vec{v}_2$  is l. i.

By the same logic,  $\beta_1 \vec{w}_1 + \beta_2 \vec{w}_2 = \vec{0}$ ,

hence  $\beta_1 = 0 = \beta_2$ .

$\Rightarrow \{\vec{v}_1, \vec{v}_2, \vec{w}_1, \vec{w}_2\}$  lin. ind.

$\Rightarrow \dim(S) = 4$ .

12. By assumption,  $\begin{pmatrix} -1 \\ 1 \\ c \end{pmatrix} \in \text{span}\left\{\begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 1 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} 2 \\ 1 \\ 2 \end{pmatrix}\right\}$

$$\Rightarrow \exists \alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{R} \quad \begin{pmatrix} -1 \\ 1 \\ c \end{pmatrix} = \alpha \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 1 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix} + \beta \begin{pmatrix} 2 \\ 1 \\ 2 \end{pmatrix} \quad (*)$$

$$\Rightarrow \begin{cases} \alpha + 2\beta = -1 \\ \alpha + \beta = 1 \end{cases} \Rightarrow \beta = -2 \Rightarrow \alpha = 3$$

$$(*) \Rightarrow c = 3 \cdot 1 + (-2) \cdot 2 = -1$$

13.  $\begin{pmatrix} 2 \\ -1 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix} \in \text{Null} \begin{pmatrix} 2 & 1 & a \\ 1 & 2 & b \end{pmatrix} \Leftrightarrow \begin{pmatrix} 2 & 1 & a \\ 1 & 2 & b \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 2 \\ -1 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}$

$$\Leftrightarrow \begin{cases} 4 - 1 + a = 0 \\ 2 - 2 + b = 0 \end{cases} \Leftrightarrow a = -3, b = 0$$