

Chapter 6

1. We deal with each type of elementary matrix separately:

- First, suppose that E is the matrix corresponding to the row operation “add c times row i to row j ”. Then E is obtained from I by the same operation, so E has the form

$$E = \begin{pmatrix} & & i & & j & & \\ & & & & & & \\ 1 & \dots & 0 & \dots & 0 & & \\ \vdots & \ddots & \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots & \\ 0 & \dots & 1 & \dots & \dots & \dots & \\ 0 & \dots & 0 & 1 & 0 & \dots & \\ 0 & \dots & c & 0 & 1 & \dots & \\ 0 & \dots & 0 & 0 & \dots & \dots & 1 \end{pmatrix} \begin{matrix} \\ \\ i \\ \\ j \\ \\ \\ \end{matrix}$$

Note also that, by proposition 6.8, $\det(E) = \det(I) = 1$.

Transposing, we obtain:

$$E^T = \begin{pmatrix} & & i & & j & & \\ & & & & & & \\ 1 & \dots & 0 & \dots & 0 & & \\ \vdots & \ddots & \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots & \\ 0 & \dots & 1 & \dots & c & \dots & \\ 0 & \dots & 0 & 1 & 0 & \dots & \\ 0 & \dots & 0 & 0 & 1 & \dots & \\ 0 & \dots & 0 & 0 & \dots & \dots & 1 \end{pmatrix} \begin{matrix} \\ \\ i \\ \\ j \\ \\ \\ \end{matrix}$$

But then E^T is the elementary matrix corresponding to the operation “add c times row j to row i ”, hence by proposition 6.8 applied again, $\det(E^T) = \det(I) = 1$. In particular, $\det(E) = \det(E^T)$.

- Suppose that E is the matrix corresponding to the row operation “interchange rows i and j ”. Then E is obtained from I by performing that same operation, so E has the form

$$E = \begin{pmatrix} & & i & & j & & \\ & & & & & & \\ 1 & \dots & 0 & \dots & 0 & & \\ \vdots & \ddots & \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots & \\ 0 & \dots & 0 & \dots & 1 & \dots & \\ 0 & \dots & 0 & 1 & 0 & \dots & \\ 0 & \dots & 1 & 0 & 0 & \dots & \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \dots & \dots & 1 \end{pmatrix} \begin{matrix} \\ \\ i \\ \\ j \\ \\ \\ \end{matrix}$$

But then $E^T = E$, so in particular $\det(E^T) = \det(E)$.

- Finally, suppose that E is the elementary matrix corresponding to the operation “multiply row i by c ”. Then E is obtained from I by performing that same operation, so E has the

form

$$E = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & \dots & 0 & \dots & 0 & \dots & \dots \\ \vdots & \ddots & \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots \\ 0 & \dots & c & \dots & 0 & \dots & \dots \\ 0 & \dots & 0 & 1 & 0 & \dots & \dots \\ 0 & \dots & 0 & 0 & 1 & \dots & \dots \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \dots & \dots & 1 \end{pmatrix} \begin{matrix} i \\ j \end{matrix}$$

Again, this gives $E^T = E$ hence in particular $\det(E^T) = \det(E)$.

2.

- (a) We already saw that, if E corresponds to the row operation “add c times row i to row j ”, then $\det(E) = 1$. If instead E corresponds to the row operation “interchange rows i and j ”, then by Proposition 6.8 $\det(E) = -\det(I) = -1$. By the same proposition, if E corresponds to the row operation “multiply row i by c ”, then $\det(E) = c$.
- (b) EB is the matrix obtained from B by performing the row operation corresponding to E , so this follows by proposition 6.8 together with the values obtained in (a).
- (c) If A is invertible, then A can be row reduced to I , so there exists $k \in \mathbb{N}$ and elementary matrices E_1, \dots, E_k such that $E_1 \dots E_k A = I$, so $A = E_k^{-1} \dots E_1^{-1}$. Applying (b) k many times,

$$1 = \det(I) = \det(E_1 \dots E_k A) = \det(E_1) \dots \det(E_k) \det(A),$$

and therefore

$$\det(A) = (\det(E_1))^{-1} \dots (\det(E_k))^{-1} = (\det(E_k))^{-1} \dots (\det(E_1))^{-1}$$

because multiplication of numbers is commutative.

We now make the following auxiliary observation: if E is an elementary matrix, then

$$\det(E^{-1}) = (\det(E))^{-1}.$$

To see this, note that E^{-1} is again an elementary matrix of the same type. Then argue by cases using the values computed in (a).

Now applying (b) k many times:

$$\begin{aligned} \det(A) \det(B) &= (\det(E_k))^{-1} \dots (\det(E_1))^{-1} \det(A) \\ &= \det(E_k^{-1}) \dots \det(E_1^{-1}) \det(B) \\ &= \det(E_k^{-1} \dots E_1^{-1} B) \\ &= \det(AB). \end{aligned}$$

- (d) If A is not invertible, then $\det(A) = 0$, so it suffices to argue that $\det(AB) = 0$. To do that, we show that AB must not be invertible. But this can be seen as follows: if AB were invertible, then there would exist some matrix C with $(AB)C = I$, hence $A(BC) = I$, which yields that A itself is invertible, contradiction.

3. $AA^{-1} = I$, hence $I = \det(AA^{-1}) = \det(A) \det(A^{-1})$ and so $\det(A^{-1}) = \frac{1}{\det(A)}$.
4. False, let I be the 2×2 identity matrix, so $\det(I) = 1$ and $\det(-I) = 1$.
6. True. Suppose A and B are $n \times n$ matrices and AB is invertible, so there exists C such that $(AB)C = I$. Note that then $A(BC) = I$, so the column space of A is contained in the column space of I , which is all of \mathbb{R}^n . So, the column space of A is \mathbb{R}^n . But A is square, and so A must be invertible. The proof for B is analogous, or can be obtained by writing $B = A^{-1}(AB)$, which is a product of invertible matrices hence invertible.

1 Chapter 7

2. Since $(A - \lambda I)^T = A^T - \lambda I$, it follows that $\chi_{A^T} = \det(A^T - \lambda I) = \det((A - \lambda I)^T) = \det(A - \lambda I) = \chi_A$ (recall that $\det(B) = \det(B^T)$ for all square matrices B).
3. Let λ be an eigenvalue of A , so there exists some *nonzero* x with $Ax = \lambda x$. Then $\lambda x = Ax = A^2x = A(Ax) = A(\lambda x) = \lambda Ax = \lambda^2 x$. Since $x \neq \vec{0}$, we infer that $\lambda = \lambda^2$, so $\lambda \in \{0, 1\}$.
4. False, let

$$A = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

and

$$B = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Both A and B have only 0 as an eigenvalue (because they are nilpotent). Note that $e_2 = (0, 1)$ is an eigenvector of A (by $Ae_2 = \vec{0}$) but not an eigenvector of B (because $Ae_2 = e_1$).

5. False, the matrix $2I$ has only the eigenvalue 2, whereas its RREF is I , which has only the eigenvalue 1.
6. False. The matrix

$$A = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}.$$

is invertible (its a counterclockwise rotation by $\pi/2$), hence $R = I$ has eigenvalue 1 (and every vector is an eigenvector). But A has no real eigenvalues, so no eigenvectors in \mathbb{R}^2 .