Should we stress fluency or teach fluent stress? Acoustic analysis and fluency judgments of L2 Italian stress

Seth Wiener, Adam Bramlett, Bianca Brown, Jocelyn Dueck









Trisyllabic stress depends on penultimate syllable weight: If heavy (i.e., C#), then it must be stressed (Krämer, 2009).



Journal of Memory and Language 66 (2012) 177-193



Contents lists available at SciVerse ScienceDirect

Journal of Memory and Language

journal homepage: www.elsevier.com/locate/jml



Simone Sulpizio^{a,*}, James M. McQueen^{b,c,d}

^aDepartment of Cognitive and Education Sciences, University of Trento, Trento, Italy

^b Donders Institute for Brain, Cognition, and Behaviour, Centre for Cognition, Radboud University Nijmegen, Nijmegen, The Netherlands

^c Behavioural Science Institute, Radboud University Nijmegen, Nijmegen, The Netherlands

^d Max Planck Institute for Psycholinguistics, Nijmegen, The Netherlands

J ournal of M emory and L anguage



(Alfano, 2006; Alfano, Savy, & Llisterri, 2009)

(Albano Leoni & Maturi, 1998).



Fundamental frequency (F0: Bark) (Tagliapietra & Tabossi, 2005)

Surface Fluency (Skehan, 2009; Tavakoli & Skehan, 2005)



Research questions

1. To what degree do beginner L2 Italian speakers produce L1-like acoustic cues associated with different tri-syllabic stress types?

2. How do L1 Italian listeners rate L2 stress patterns across four fluency dimensions: overall, duration, loudness, and pitch?

3. How do these acoustic cues affect the fluency ratings?

Procedure: Audio Recording

10 L1 (USA) English-L2 Italian adult classroom learners (mean age: 21)

5 Adult L1 Italian speakers from Italy (mean age: 35)



Read aloud 32 pairs of common, frequency controlled, trisyllabic words via Gorilla.

COmico'funny'coMIzio'meeting'(1.7 mil/freq; 6 letters)(1.3 mil/freq; 7 letters)

Procedure: Cleaning and Measuring Audio

1. Manually trimmed, normalized for amplitude.

2. 900/960 recordings' vowels (1,800 vowels in total) manually tagged in Praat.

3. F0 (Bark transformed), amplitude (dB), and duration (ms) were extracted.

Outliers > 3 median absolute deviations were removed (~3% of acoustic data).







1. To what degree do beginner L2 Italian speakers produce L1-like acoustic cues associated with different tri-syllabic stress types?

L2 speakers do <u>NOT</u> produce L1-like duration, amplitude, or F0 cues associated with different tri-syllabic stress types.

Only the penultimate duration cue was L1-like...

Procedure: Rating Audio via Gorilla

50 L1 Italian listeners recruited through Prolific (mean age = 36; mean Italian proficiency using LexITA = 57/60 Amenta et al., 2021).

Each participant heard 100 different L1 and L2 utterances (out of possible 900).

Participants rated overall fluency, and duration, loudness, and pitch fluency using visual analog scales.





2. How do L1 Italian listeners rate L2 stress patterns across four fluency dimensions: overall, duration, loudness, and pitch?

- Not L1-like
- High variability
- Not fluent (across any dimension)
- Penultimate more fluent than Antepenultimate (across all dimensions)



(Alfano, 2006; Alfano, Savy, & Llisterri, 2009)

(Albano Leoni & Maturi, 1998).



Fundamental frequency (F0: Bark) (Tagliapietra & Tabossi, 2005)



3. How do these acoustic cues affect the fluency ratings?

- Longer first vowel duration led to significantly higher fluency ratings for Antepenultimate stress ($\beta = 53.9, p < .001$).
- Longer second vowel duration led to significantly higher fluency ratings for Penultimate stress ($\beta = 32.1, p = .02$).
- All other predictors in the models were null at a .05 alpha-level.



Duration as a primary cue for Italian stress recognition (Alfano, 2006; Alfano, Savy, & Llisterri, 2009; Sulpizio & McQueen, 2012)



Stress duration



Practice length and loudness

Grazie!

